College Allies Rubric

EARA	College Access: Research & Action
	Creating Post-Secondary Pathway

Campus:

	Highly Developed	Developed	Developing	Not Yet Developed			
PEER LEADER DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT							
Direct Supervisor Support to Peer Leaders	Supervisor meets every 2 weeks with PLs in group or 1:1 format	Supervisor meets monthly with PLs in group or 1:1 format	Supervisor schedules group or 1:1 PL support meetings as needed	Supervisor does not hold formal group or 1:1 meetings with PLs			
Supervisor Communication System to Support Peer Leader Work	Supervisor and PLs regularly use a communication system to collaboratively problem solve	Supervisor regularly uses a communication system to support PL problem solving	Supervisor <i>irregularly</i> uses a communication system to support PL problem solving	Supervisor does not use a communication system to support PL problem solving			
Peer Leader Training Completion	80-100% of PLs complete >80% of training	60-79% of PLs complete >80% of training	40-59% of PLs complete >80% of training	<40% of PLs complete >80% of training			
Peer Leader Completion of Assessment System*	80-100% of PLs have complete assessments	60-79% of PLs have complete assessments	40-59% of PLs have complete assessments	<40% of PLs have complete assessments			
Peer Leader Development of Career Capacities	Average PL rating is Fully Demonstrates	Average PL rating is Mostly Demonstrates	Average PL rating is Somewhat Demonstrates	Average PL rating is Does Not Demonstrate (or not enough assessments to rate)			
Peer Leader Development of Core Competencies	Average PL rating is Fully Demonstrates	Average PL rating is Mostly Demonstrates	Average PL rating is Somewhat Demonstrates	Average PL rating is Does Not Demonstrate (or not enough assessments to rate)			
	EXPAN	DED INDIVIDUAL STUDENT SU	JPPORT				
Peer Leader to Student Ratio (assumes PL works 10 hrs/week)	1:100-1:125	1:126-1:150	1:150-1:200	>1:200			

	Highly Developed	Developed	Developing	Not Yet Developed			
Successful Interactions with Student	>60% of program participants have at least 1 successful interaction with a PL	40-59% of program participants have at least 1 successful interaction with a PL	20-39% of program participants have at least 1 successful interaction with a PL	<20% of program participants have at least 1 successful interaction with a PL			
Data Tracking and Reporting	Supervisor and PLS consistently use shared, real-time benchmark tracking system to strategize around student progress and program development	Supervisor and PLs consistently use shared real-time benchmark tracking system	Supervisors and PLs do not consistently use shared benchmark tracking system	Benchmark tracking system is non-existent or rudimentary			
Integration of Peer Leaders into Campus or Program Infrastructure							
Peer Leader Involvement in Student Programs (e.g. orientation, workshops, special events, etc.)	3+ peer-led student programs	1-2 peer-led student programs	1-2 peer-supported student programs	Minimal peer support with student programs			
Program Engagement with Campus Stakeholders	Program representatives meet 3+/semester with stakeholders	Program representatives meeting 2+/semester with stakeholders	Program representatives meet 1x/semester with stakeholders	Program representatives do not meet formally with stakeholders			
PROGRAM OUTCOMES: Over time, investments in peer-led persistence programming should manifest in improving leading indicators and persistence outcomes.							
Maintained at Least 2.0 Average GPA	80-100%	60-79%	40-59%	Less than 40%			
Retained Semester to Semester	80-100%	60-79%	40-59%	Less than 40%			
Retained Year to Year	80-100%	60-79%	40-59%	Less than 40%			
Higher Persistence for Students Served (compared to matched comparison group)	+10% higher	5-9% higher	1-4% higher	No difference or lower			